

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/815,257	03/22/2001	Yutaka Kamezaki	55521 (70904)	6515
21874 7.	590 06/02/2004		EXAM	INER
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP P.O. BOX 55874			NGUYEN, CHANH DUY	
BOSTON, MA 02205		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2675	18
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/815,257	KAMEZAKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chanh Nguyen	2675				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 February 2004</u> .					
	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-58 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
<u> </u>	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-58</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Nor election requirement.					
Application Papers						
	☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The drawing(s) filed onin/arc; s)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	O) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.05(a).					
1) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	 2) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
,						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Thenriew S	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) Notice of Ir 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)·				

Art Unit: 2675

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment field on February 09, 2004has been entered and considered by examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 33 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Osamu et al (JP 2585463).

As to claim 43, Osamu discloses a driving method of a display device (1) which output display scanning signal (Figure 6, signals indicated as Y1-Y480) respectively to scanning signal lines (21), and outputting display data signal (SIG) respect to data signal data lines (11) so as to display an image which is in accordance with the display data with respect to pixels (61) which are disposed on a matrix having a partial display function for a non-image area (23, 25) and an image display area (22), horizontal signal lines in a vertical period of the display device (i.e. data lines X1- Xn = 640 lines) being greater than the scanning lines (Y1-Yn = 480 lines). Osamu teaches the display scanning signals (Y1-Y40 and Y441-Y480) and the display data signals (SIG 81)

Art Unit: 2675

simultaneously outputted with respect to the respect to scanning lines and respective data signal lines which correspond to the non image area (23, 25); see Figure 6.

As to claim 33, this claim differs from claim 43 only in that the limitation a plurality of serially shift register section included in the scanning signal driving section is additionally recited. This limitation is clearly taught by Osamu. That is each of flip-flops (203 and 205) is functioned as a shift register which is serially connected each other; see figure 8.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2675

4. Claims 24-26 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Osamu et al (JP 2585463) in view of Taku (JP11-184434).

As to claim 24, Osamu discloses a driving method of a display device (1) which output display scanning signal (Figure 6, signals indicated as Y1-Y480) respectively to scanning signal lines (21), and outputting display data signal (SIG) respect to data signal data lines (11) so as to display an image which is in accordance with the display data with respect to pixels (61) which are disposed on a matrix having a partial display function for a non-image area (23, 25) and an image display area (22). Osamu teaches the step of distinguish a predetermined image display area (22) and a predetermined non-image area (23, 25) (i.e. display signal and non-display signal for distinguishing image display are and non-image area). Osamu teaches the display scanning signals (Y1-Y40 and Y441-Y480) and the display data signals (SIG 81) simultaneously outputted with respect to the respect to scanning lines and respective data signal lines which correspond to the non image area (23, 25); see Figure 6. Osamu does not mention a step of deactivating operation of the scanning signal line driving section until next display being carried. Taku teaches that "after the F2 period, the application of the CLY corresponding to the non-display portion is stopped and the output of the select voltage from the Y driver is prevented" (see paragraph 0043). This read on the limitation "following the simultaneously outputting, deactivating operation of the scanning line driving section" as recited in the claim. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the invention was made to have used the step of deactivating operation of scanning line driving section as taught by Taku to the

Art Unit: 2675

scanning line driving section of Osamu so as tor reduce the poser consumption (see paragraph 0002 of Taku).

As to claim 25-26, the claimed limitations one horizontal period and two horizontal periods are clearly taught by Osamu as shown in figure 6.

As to claim 42 this claims differs from claim 24 only in that claim 42 is apparatus whereas claim 24 is method. Thus, apparatus claim 42 is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to method claim 24 above.

5. Claims 1-23, 27-32 and 34-41 and 44-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saito et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,232,939) in view of Osamu et al (JP 2585463).

As to claim 1, Saito discloses a display device driving circuit which includes a scanning lines driving section (e.g., 30, 32-33) for outputting display scanning signal (G1-G769) respectively to scanning signal lines (12) for displaying an image accordance to the display data with respect to pixels (16) which are disposed on the matrix 10). Saito teaches the display device driving circuit including a control means (30, 32-33) for switching from successive output (G1, G2) to simultaneous output (G2, G3), the output of the display scanning signals to the respective scanning signal lines based on a transition instruction signal (e.g., VCLK1) that causes the transition from successive output to simultaneous output, and for controlling the output of the display scanning signal (G1-G769) from the scanning line driving section (30, 32-33) to the respectively scanning lines (12) based on the transition instruction signal (VLCK1); see Figure 13. In same field of endeavor, Osamu teaches a control section (201) including

Art Unit: 2675

a set section (Y1-Y40) in which is set each each of an image display area (22) and one or more non-image areas (23, 25) and which control section (201) outputs an a transition instruction signal (e.g., SET signal) for each of one or more non-image areas (23, 25); see Figure 7. Osamu further teaches switching from successive output (Y41-Y440) to simultaneous output (Y441-480) so that the display scanning signals are outputting simultaneously with respect to all scanning lines (Y441-Y480 and Y1-Y40) until next successive output is started by an instruction signal (SET signal) for successively outputting the display scanning signals; see Figures 7-8.

The claim is so broad that it even can read on the Osamu reference alone. That is the reference of Osamu can be interpreted as 102 rejection as broad claim.

As to claim 9, this claim differs from claim 1 only in that the limitation input means is additionally recited. Saito clearly teaches an input means (e.g., 30, 32-33) for receiving a transition instruction signal (e.g., VCLK1) as recited in the claim.

As to claim 17, note the discussion of Saito above, claim 17 differs from claim 1 only in the limitation a non-image area and an image display area is additionally recited. Thus, Saito discloses the driving method of a display device as recited in claim 17 with exception of describing the non-image area and the image display area. In same field of endeavor, Osamu teaches an a non-image area (23 and 25) and an image display area (24) as previously discussed with respect o claim 17 above. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the invention was made to have used the non-image area and the image display area as taught by Osamu to the display

Art Unit: 2675

device of Saito so as to prevent the malfunction of liquid crystal by impressing a certain voltage of a non-display signal; see objection of the invention of Osamu.

As to claim 27, this claim differs from claim 17 only in that claim 17 is method claim whereas claim 27 is apparatus. Thus, apparatus claim 27 is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to method claim 17 above.

As to claims 44 and 54, these claims are met by Saito and Osamu as previously discussed with respect to claim 1 above. That is Saito clearly teaches switching from successive output mode to the simultaneous output mode. The limitation "switching" even can read on the reference of Osamu which uses start signal (ST) for performing successive output mode and set signal (SET) for performing simultaneous output mode. Osamu further teaches image display area and non-image display area which Saito does not disclose.

As to dependent claims 2-8, 10-16, 18-23, 28-32 and 34-39, 40-41, 45-53 and 55-58, these dependent claims are met by Saito and Osamu as previously discussed with respect to independent claims 1, 9, 17, 24, 27 and 33 above. For example, Osamu clearly teaches level shifter (209), a plurality of logic elements (AND gate 207) and shift registers (203) as recited in 48-50 and 58-60. Osamu also teaches a first pulse signal and second pulse signal (i.e. SET signal and START signal) as recited in claims 46 and 55.

Art Unit: 2675

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed February 09, 2004 have been fully considered but

they are not persuasive.

Applicant states that claims 1 and 9 were amended to more clearly indicate there

can be one or more non-image areas. Osamu clearly teaches at least one non-image

area (25) which is met the claimed limitation. Applicant also states that claims 27 and

42 were amended to includes a means for distinguish the display and non-display areas

based on the transitional signal. However, Osamu clearly teaches the use of SET

signal and START signal to or distinguish the display and non-display areas.

Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Chanh Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-

6603.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

Page 8

Art Unit: 2675

Page 9

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist)

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

C. Nguyen

May 30, 2004

CHANH NGUYEN

DRIMARY FXAMINER